

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERC United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.			
10/010,308	11/08/2001	Dietmar Wennemann	1856	5797			
7590 07/28/2004 STRIKER, STRIKER & STENBY 103 East Neck Road Huntington, NY 11743			EXAMINER				
			FASTOVSKY, LEONID M				
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
			3742				
			DATE MAILED: 07/28/2004				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)			\ \				
		10/010,3	08	WENNEMANN ET	ΓAL	\bigcup	\bigcup				
		Examine	r	Art Unit		+					
		Leonid M	Fastovsky	3742							
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a r Reply	appears on th	e cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress						
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failur Any r	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION is signs of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state ply received by the Office later than three months after the main digrater term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no extends within the state od will apply and witte, cause the appropriate in the state.	rent, however, may a reply be tin tutory minimum of thirty (30) day rill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from blication to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timel the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		ation.					
Status											
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25	May 2004.									
2a) <u></u> □	☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.										
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.										
Dispositi	on of Claims										
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application	on.									
· · · · · ·	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.										
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.										
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are rejected.										
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.										
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election r	equirement.								
Applicati	on Papers										
9) 🗌 -	The specification is objected to by the Exami	iner.									
10)🛛 :	The drawing(s) filed on <u>08 November 2001</u> is	s/are: a)⊠ a	ccepted or b)☐ object	ed to by the Exam	niner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	he drawing(s) l	oe held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr	ection is requir	red if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 Cl	FR 1.12	21 (d)).				
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. No	ote the attached Office	Action or form P7	ΓΟ-152	2.					
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119										
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei			-(d) or (f).							
	1. Certified copies of the priority docume			a a Nia							
	2. Certified copies of the priority docume3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority		• •		Stage						
	application from the International Bure			tu iii uiis ivauonai	Stage						
* S	ee the attached detailed Office action for a li	•	` ''	d.							
Attachment	(s)										
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary								
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0)8)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P		D-152)						
Paper	No(s)/Mail Date	,	6) Other:								

Application/Control Number: 10/010,308

Art Unit: 3742

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Krause et al (DE 20005461) and further in view of Rapp (6,299940).

 Krause et al teaches a glass ceramic panel 1 providing a cooking surface having cooking zones 2 heated with IR source 3, a cool region 4 and a transition region 5, wherein the panel is provided with a solid colored IR permeable coating 6 on an underside of the panel comprising of paint and aluminum and plastic foils (Claim 12), paint pigments comprising organometallic solutions (Claim 3), the organometallic paint selected from a group consisting of noble metal resonates, anti-corrosive paints, and sol-gel layers (Claim 3), and temperature resistance levels with a material having temperature resistance greater than about 350 degree C (Claim 2), and a second coating material having a temperature resistance to temperatures of up to about 350 degree C in cold zone 5 (Claim 5). However, Krause does not teach a decorative coating. Rapp et al teaches a decorative coating on the surface of a glass ceramic (Fig. 1-3 and claim 1). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to

Application/Control Number: 10/010,308 Page 3

Art Unit: 3742

modify Krause's invention to include a decorative coating in order that the surface of the glass under the coating is not visible as taught by Rapp (claim 1).

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-16 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. 6525300 (glass ceramic surface coating).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Leonid M Fastovsky whose telephone number is 703-306-5482. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th. 8.00 am -6.00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robin Evans can be reached on 703-305-5766. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Leonid M Fastovsky

Application/Control Number: 10/010,308

Art Unit: 3742

Examiner Art Unit 3742 Page 4
